

## **Fellowship Application: Outcome and Feedback**

Applicant name:	
Cohort/ Year:	

Thank you for your application to become a **Fellow**. Your application has been reviewed by our independent reviewers. They have carefully considered your application and, whilst recognising strengths in your application, they conclude that it does not currently fully meet the criteria for **Descriptor <sup>Y</sup>** of the <u>Professional Standards Framework <sup>Y · Y Y</sup></u> which forms the basis for the award of Fellowship.

We appreciate that this is not the outcome you will have wanted but hope that you will find the feedback below helpful. **We encourage you to revise and resubmit** your application.

The decision against each of the Descriptor  $^{\tau}$  criteria is provided in Section  $^{1}$  below. Sections  $^{\tau}$  and  $^{\tau}$  then provide you with feedback intended to guide and support you in making appropriate amendments to your application to fully meet the Descriptor  $^{\tau}$  criteria in a second submission.

The deadline for submission of your revised application is ......



Section 1: Decision against Descriptor 7 Criteria				
Descriptor <sup>↑</sup> Criteria		Met (√)	Not Met (√)	
I	Use of all five Professional Values	<b>√</b>	1	
II	Application of all five forms of Core Knowledge	<b>✓</b>	1	
III	Effective and inclusive practice in all five Areas of Activity	1	1	
Supporting Statements		Yes (✓)	No (✓)	
Supporting Statements broadly corroborate the account		✓	/	

Section *: Feedback summary for First Submission		
Write here		

Section *: Key action points for First Submission	
Key actions bulleted here after a brief introduction	



## \*Please note this section of the template is only used if submission of your revised application is unsuccessful. If that is the case, the table in Section 1 will be updated to indicate the outcome of the second review process and Section 4 below will provide feedback explaining the final outcome.