

Fellow Review Grid

Applicant name			
Outcome first submission	Award	Refer	Date
Cohort/ Year			
Reviewer 1			
Lead Reviewer (Reviewer [*])			
Outcome following resubmission	Award	Unsuccessful	Date

Section 1: Reviewer decision and feedback against Descriptor * Criteria

Each Reviewer () and Lead) to complete their section of the review grid below to note their decision against each criterion (please tick Met or Not Met) and add comments to explain your decision.

SECTION 1: Review of application against Descriptor * Criteria

 D^{γ} is suitable for individuals whose practice with learners has breadth and depth, enabling them to evidence all Dimensions.

Effectiveness of practice in teaching and/or support of high-quality learning is demonstrated through evidence of:

DY.I Use of all five Professional Values		Met (X)	Not Met (X)
Reviewer)			
Lead Reviewer			
D ^r .II Application of all five forms of Core Knowledge		Met (X)	Not Met (X)
Reviewer \			
Lead Reviewer			
DY.III Effective and inclusive practice in all five Areas of Activity		Met (X)	Not Met (X)
Reviewer)			
Lead Reviewer			
Do Supporting Statements broadly corroborate the account? (If 'no' add comments below)		Yes (X)	No (X)
Reviewer)			
Lead Reviewer			



SECTION [†]: Record of Discussions

SECTION ": Initia applicants	al individual Reviewer judgement and feedback to referred	Award (X)	Refer (X)	
Reviewer ۱				
Lead Reviewer				
Lead Reviewer to record agreed first Outcome (Award or Refer) and, if relevant, second Outcome (Award or Unsuccessful) in the sections at the top of this form.				

The Lead Reviewer completes the **Fellowship Application: Outcome and Feedback Template** if applicants are referred.

The same completed Review Grid is used to review revised applications when applicants resubmit; Reviewers please add your second decision and comments in blue font. Lead Reviewer to add the final outcome at the top of the form.